IN THE CLAIMS.

Please amend claims 1, 4, 13, 21 and 26, as set forth below.

Please add new claims 33-38, as set forth below.

1. (Currently amended) A method for generating a model of preferences of a decision-maker, comprising the steps of:

identifying a set of alternatives to be presented to the decision-maker;

identifying a set of attributes associated with the alternatives;

characterizing the alternatives by obtaining a set of values for the attributes of each alternative;

obtaining a sample set of pair-wise preferences among a subset of the alternatives; evolving the model of preferences that is stored in memory by iteratively generating a set of candidate models and evaluating the candidate models using a fitness measure which is based on the sample set of pair-wise preferences.

- 2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of evolving includes the steps of:
 - constructing a population of the candidate models, each candidate model capable of expressing a modeled pair-wise preference between any two of the alternatives in response to the values for the attributes;
 - evaluating the candidate models from the population by examining the modeled pairwise preferences of each candidate model over a subset of the alternatives and deriving a fitness measure which includes at least one criterion that penalizes the candidate models for disagreeing with the sample set of pair-wise preferences;

examining the population for one whose fitness measure meets a termination criterion.

3. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein the criterion penalizes the candidate models based on a number of the sample set of pair-wise preferences that disagree with the modeled pair-wise preferences.

- 4. (Currently amended) The method of claim 2, wherein the step of obtaining a sample set of pair-wise preferences includes the steps of obtaining an indication of preference strength of the decision-maker such that the penalty for disagreeing with the sample set of pair-wise preferences is based on the indication of preference strength of the decision-maker.
- 5. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein the candidate models each express the modeled pair-wise preferences by returning a number representing a utility value.
- 6. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein the candidate models are each of a type from a set that includes a computer program type, a mathematical expression type, a neural network type, and a belief network type.
- 7. (Original) The method of claim 2, wherein the step of evolving further includes the step of constructing a new population from the population based on the fitness measures of the candidate models.
- 8. (Original) The method of claim 7, wherein the step of constructing a new population includes the steps of:

selecting a subset of the candidate models based on the fitness measures; generating a set of new candidate models for the new population based on combining portions the selected subset of candidate models.

- 9. (Original) The method of claim 8, wherein the step of generating a set of new candidate models includes the step of combining portions the selected subset of candidate models using genetic operations.
- 10. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of obtaining the sample set of pair-wise preferences comprises the step of obtaining the sample set of pair-wise preferences comprises the step of obtaining the sample set of pair-wise preferences from the decision maker.

- 11. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of obtaining the sample set of pair-wise preferences comprises the step of obtaining the sample set of pair-wise preferences from a set of one or more other decision-makers.
- 12. (Original) The method of claim 11, wherein the step of obtaining the sample set of pair-wise preferences from the other decision-makers includes the step of obtaining a common agreement among the other decision-makers for the sample set of pair-wise preferences.
 - 13. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of: identifying a set of characterization attributes that may be associated with the decision- maker;
 - obtaining a set of values for the characterization attributes from a set of sample decision-makers from which the sample set of pair-wise preferences are obtained.
- 14. (Original) The method of claim 13, wherein the step of obtaining a set of values for the characterization attributes comprises the step of obtaining from the decision-maker a set of answers to a set of multiple choice questions.
- 15. (Original) The method of claim 13, wherein the step of evolving includes the steps of:
 - constructing a population of the candidate models, each candidate model capable of expressing a modeled pair-wise preference between any two of the alternatives in response to the values for the attributes and the values for the characterization attributes;
 - evaluating the candidate models from the population by examining the modeled pairwise preferences of each candidate model over a subset of the alternatives and sample decision-makers and deriving a fitness measure which includes at least one criterion that penalizes the candidate models for disagreeing with the sample set of pair-wise preferences and corresponding values for the characterization attributes;

examining the population for one whose fitness measure meets a termination criterion.

- 16. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of obtaining a sample set of pair-wise preferences includes the steps of presenting the alternatives to the decision-maker and obtaining from the decision-maker a ranking of the alternatives.
- 17. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the steps of obtaining a sample set of pair-wise preferences comprises the step of presenting a textual description of each alternative.
- 18. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of identifying a set of alternatives comprises the step of selecting from a set of realized alternatives.
- 19. (Original) The method of claim 18, wherein the step of obtaining a sample set of pair-wise preferences comprises the step of obtaining from the decision-maker a relative preference between two successive realized alternatives experienced by the decision maker.
- 20. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of obtaining a sample set of pair-wise preferences comprises the step of presenting the decision-maker with the alternatives and observing a behavior of the decision-maker in response to the alternatives.
- 21. (Currently amended) A system for designing a presentation comprising including-means for selecting between a set of available alternatives each characterized by a set of observable attributes using a model of preferences of a target audience wherein the model that is stored in memory is evolved by iteratively generating a set of candidate models and evaluating the candidate models using a fitness measure which is based on a sample set of pair-wise preferences based upon responses from the target audience to a series of questions.
- 22. (Original) The system of a claim 21, wherein the presentation is customized for a specific member of the target audience.

- 23. (Original) The system of claim 22, wherein the specific member of the target audience is characterized by a set of values of a set of characterization parameters used with the candidate models.
- 24. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein the presentation is designed to appeal to the target audience as a whole.
- 25. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein the presentation is one of a set that includes a web page, a sequence of question, an advertisement, a direct-marketing solicitation, a set of one or more services, a set of one or more products, an establishment of a price of a product, an establishment of a price of a service, a shelf layout in a store, a display in a store, a sequence of actions, a sequence of steps used to diagnose a problem, a design of product, and a design of service.
- 26. (Currently amended) A device for deciding among a set of alternatives each characterized by a set of observable attributes comprising means for storing a preference model constructed by iteratively generating a set of candidate models and evaluating the candidate models using a fitness measure which is based on a sample set of pair-wise preferences that are stored in memory.
- 27. (Original) The device of claim 26, further comprising input means that enable a user to enter the observable attributes of the alternatives into the device.
- 28. (Original) The device of claim 27, wherein the input means enable the user to enter a set of values for a set of characterization parameters of the user that are used with candidate models.
- 29. (Original) The device of claim 26, further comprising means for obtaining a set of physical measurements associated with the observable attributes.

- 30. (Original) The device of claim 26, wherein the alternatives each represent one from a set that includes one or more services offered for sale and one or more products offered for sale.
- 31. (Original) The device of claim 26, wherein the alternatives include taking an action and not taking an action.
- 32. (Original) The device of claim 26, wherein each alternative represents a way of customizing a service.
- 33. (New) A method of customizing a computer program, the method comprising the acts of:
 - presenting a user with a plurality of pairs of customization options through a series of questions;
 - generating the user's preferences for each pair of options in the plurality in response to the user's answers to the series of questions;
 - assigning a plurality of values to each element of each pair of options in the plurality; evaluating a fitness measure for each of the plurality of values;
 - selecting a subset from the plurality of values, wherein each member of the subset exceeds the fitness measure; and
 - combining the members of the subset using genetic operations to produce new values for each element of each pair of options in the plurality.
- 34. (New) The method of claim 33, wherein the customization options include the level of technical expertise required to operate the program.
- 35. (New) The method of claim 33, wherein the act of presenting the user with the plurality of pairs occurs over a computer network.
- 36. (New) The method of claim 33, wherein the genetic operations are chosen from the group consisting of mutation and cross-over.

- 37. (New) The method of claim 33, wherein the act of evaluating the fitness measure for each of a plurality of values further comprises the act of reducing each value if the value violates the generated user preference.
- 38. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of obtaining a sample set of pair-wise preferences includes performing a survey of likely decision-makers.